

To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; om Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; aren Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Nepstad, Michael G SPK"
Sent: Tue 7/5/2011 6:38:57 PM
Subject: Are you all aware of the 1995 Least Cost CVP Yield Increase Plan? (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Are you all aware of the 1995 Least Cost CVP Yield Increase Plan? It spells out the ways in which increased yield to the CVP could be obtained. I last read it a couple years ago, but my plan then was that I was going to use the document to critically question the BDCP need amounts of water; such as if they say they need 7 mil diverted for the BDCP but the 1995 plan says that conservation can provide a firm yield (amount in drought year) of 615,000 af, then my question will be why not incorporate the conservation into the BDCP and then reduce your need for diversion by 615,000? Many of the ideas in the report won't pass the LEDPA test, and some could only be asked if we determine the project is not surface water dependant, but it still seems like it will provide some good questions for Reclamation and DWR to answer. It's a very detailed document and I recommend you all read. I am unaware of a similar document for the State Water Project.

Anyway, it's too big to email, but I have a copy on R drive in my personal folder for Erin. I believe I found it on the MP region website

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE